



Bifurcation from the first eigenvalue of some nonlinear elliptic operators in Banach spaces

Pavel Drábek^{a,*}, Nikos M. Stavrakakis^b

^a*Department of Mathematics, University of West Bohemia, P.O. Box 314, 30614 Pilsen, Czech Republic*

^b*Department of Mathematics, National Technical University, Zografou Campus, 157 80 Athens, Greece*

Received 1 July 1998; accepted 6 October 1998

Keywords: Nonlinear operators; Bifurcation theory; Degree theory; Quasilinear elliptic equations; Homogeneous Sobolev spaces; Unbounded domains; Perturbations

1. Introduction

Let us consider an abstract operator equation

$$Au = \lambda Bu, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a spectral parameter and A, B are operators acting from a certain Banach space into its dual. In the papers by Idogawa and Ôtani [9] and Chan et al. [4], the existence of the first variational and simple eigenvalue λ_1 of Eq. (1.1) is proved if A and B are single-valued subdifferentials of certain positive, convex functionals f^1 and f^2 , respectively. Under some additional assumptions, they proved that the problem has a positive solution if and only if $\lambda = \lambda_1$. They provide examples of quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems on the bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. In our paper we show that a slight modification of the assumptions in [4] allows us to extend their results also for problems in unbounded domains (including the case of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$). Putting some additional assumptions on A and B , which seems to be natural for a wide class of quasilinear equations, we prove that there is a neighbourhood of λ_1 , which does not contain any other eigenvalue than λ_1 . Finally, under the assumption

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: pdrabek@kma.zcu.cz (P. Drábek), nikolas@central.ntua.gr (N.M. Stavrakakis)

that A and B are the Fréchet derivatives of the functionals f^1 and f^2 , respectively, we prove a global bifurcation result for the operator equation

$$Au = \lambda Bu + F(\lambda, u), \tag{1.2}$$

where the nonlinear operator F represents “higher-order” terms.

A special fact that the operators A and B need not be odd should be emphasized. In this spirit our result generalises in an essential way related results for the p -Laplacian (see [7] and the references therein).

As an example we can provide weak solvability of the nonlinear eigenvalue problem in \mathbb{R}^N

$$-\operatorname{div} a(x, u, \nabla u) + c(x, u, \nabla u) = \lambda b(x, u) + g(\lambda, x, u),$$

where the assumptions on a, b, c and g are specified in Section 4.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we reformulate the assumptions of Chan et al. [4] with the modification which allows us to deal with the unbounded domain Ω . We also prove that λ_1 is isolated in the above-mentioned sense, if some extra conditions on the operators A and B are required. Section 3 deals with an abstract bifurcation result based on the change of the value of the degree when λ crosses λ_1 . In Section 4, we give a typical application.

Notation. We denote by $B_R(0)$ the open ball of \mathbb{R}^N with center 0 and radius R . $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ denotes the duality pairing between the spaces V^*, V . The symbols $L^p, \|\cdot\|_p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}$, are used in the place of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, respectively. We denote by \rightarrow and \rightharpoonup the strong and the weak convergence, respectively.

2. The first eigenvalue of abstract elliptic operators

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a domain (bounded, unbounded or possibly equal to \mathbb{R}^N). Let V be a real reflexive Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_V$, with the dual space V^* and the duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$. Denote by $\Phi(V)$ the family of all lower semicontinuous convex functionals f from V into $(-\infty, \infty]$, such that $D(f) := \{u \in V; f(u) < \infty\} \neq \emptyset$. The subdifferential ∂f of f at u is defined by

$$\partial f(u) := \{h \in V^*; f(v) - f(u) \geq \langle h, v - u \rangle_V, \text{ for any } v \in D(f)\},$$

with the domain $D(\partial f) := \{u \in V; \partial f(u) \neq \emptyset\}$. Assume that $\partial f : V \rightarrow V^*$ is a single-valued operator. Note that if $f \in \Phi(V)$ is Fréchet differentiable, then $\partial f(u)$ is the Fréchet derivative of f at u . Let $\mathcal{D} := C_0^\infty(\Omega), \mathcal{D}^+ := \{u \in \mathcal{D}; u(x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } x \in \Omega\}$. Let $V_i, i = 1, 2$ be real reflexive Banach spaces of functions defined in Ω and denote

$$V_i^+ := \{u \in V_i; u(x) \geq 0, \text{ a.e. } \in \Omega\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} (V_i) \quad \overline{\mathcal{D}^{V_i}} &= V_i \quad \hookrightarrow \quad V_2 \subset L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \\ (V_i^+) \quad \overline{\mathcal{D}^{+V_i}} &= V_1^+ \quad \hookrightarrow \quad V_2^+, \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol \hookrightarrow is used to denote continuous embeddings. Let $\Omega_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of bounded subdomains of Ω satisfying the property

$$(\Omega_n) \quad \overline{\Omega_n} \subset \Omega_{n+1} \subset \overline{\Omega_{n+1}} \subset \dots \subset \Omega, \text{ and } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n = \Omega. \text{ Moreover, for any compact set } K \subset \Omega, \text{ there exists } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ such that } K \subset \Omega_n.$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, introduce the operator $P_n : L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, defined by

$$P_n z(x) = \begin{cases} z(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_n. \end{cases}$$

We impose the following hypothesis on $f^i \in \Phi(V_i), i = 1, 2$:

(A1) (i) $A = \partial f^1, B = \partial f^2, B_n = \partial f^2(P_n(\cdot))$;

(ii) $D(f^i) = V_i, i = 1, 2$;

(iii) P_n maps V_1 into V_2 , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(A2) (i) $R(|u|) \leq R(u) := f^1(u)/f^2(u)$, for all $u \in V_1$;

(i)_n $R_n(|u|) \leq R_n(u) := f^1(u)/f^2(P_n u)$, for all $u \in V_1$;

(ii) $f^i(u) \geq 0$, for all $u \in V_i, i = 1, 2$, and $f^2(u) = 0$,

if and only if $u = 0$;

(iii) there exists $w \in V_1$, with $w \neq 0$, such that

$$\lambda_1 = R(w) = \inf\{R(u); u \in V_1, u \neq 0\} < +\infty$$

(iii)_n there exists $w_n \in V_1$, with $w_n \neq 0$, such that

$$\lambda_1^n = R_n(w_n) = \inf\{R_n(u); u \in V_1, u \neq 0\} < +\infty.$$

(A3) There exists $\alpha > 1$ such that $f^i(tu) = t^\alpha f^i(u)$, for all $u \in V_i, i = 1, 2$, and for all $t > 0$.

(A4) (i) $f^1(u \vee v) + f^1(u \wedge v) \leq f^1(u) + f^1(v)$, for all $u, v \in V_1^+$;

(ii) $f^2(u \vee v) + f^2(u \wedge v) \geq f^2(u) + f^2(v)$, for all $u, v \in V_2^+$; where $(u \vee v)(x) = \max\{u(x), v(x)\}, (u \wedge v)(x) = \min\{u(x), v(x)\}$.

(A5) f^1 is strictly convex.

(A6) If $0 \leq z \leq u$, with $z, u \in D(B)$, then $B(z) \leq B(u)$ holds in the sense of distributions.

(A7) (i) Every nonnegative nontrivial solution u of the problem

$$Au = \lambda Bu, \tag{AE}_\lambda$$

belongs to $C(\Omega)$ and satisfies $u(x) > 0$, for all $x \in \Omega$;

(i)_n Every nonnegative nontrivial solution u of the approximation problems

$$Au = \lambda^n B_n u, \tag{AE}_{\lambda^n}$$

belongs to $L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Under hypotheses (A1)–(A7) we have the following general result from Chan et al. [4].

Theorem 2.1. *The number λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $(AE)_\lambda$, it is simple and $(AE)_\lambda$ has a positive solution if and only if $\lambda = \lambda_1$. The number λ_1^n is the first eigenvalue of problem $(AE)_{\lambda^n}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(AE)_{\lambda_1^n}$ has nontrivial nonnegative solutions with $\lambda_1^n \searrow \lambda_1$, as $n \nearrow \infty$.*

This assertion is proved for a bounded domain Ω in paper [4]. However, inspecting the proof in [4] we also get the same result for unbounded domain Ω satisfying hypothesis (Ω_n) .

We shall denote by $u_1 = u_1(x) > 0$ the eigenfunction associated with λ_1 and we normalize it as $\|u_1\|_{V_1} = 1$. For $w \in V_1$, we set $\Omega_w^+ := \{x \in \Omega; w(x) > 0\}$, $\Omega_w^- := \{x \in \Omega; w(x) < 0\}$ and $w^+(x) := (w \vee 0)(x)$, $w^-(x) := (w \wedge 0)(x)$. We further impose the following assumptions.

(V1) For any $w \in V_i$, we have $w^+ \in V_i^+$ and $-w^- \in V_i^+$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, for any fixed number $\kappa > 0$ and for any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset V_1$, such that $u_n \rightharpoonup \mp u_1$ in V_1 , we have $\text{meas}(\Omega_{u_n}^\pm \cap B_\kappa(0)) \rightarrow 0$, where $B_\kappa(0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x| < \kappa\}$.

(A8) There exist $c_0 > 0$ and $c_1 > 0$ such that

(i) $\langle Au, u^\pm \rangle_{V_1} \geq c_0 \langle Au^\pm, u^\pm \rangle_{V_1}$, for all $u \in V_1$;

(ii) $\langle Au, u \rangle_{V_1} \geq c_1 \|u\|_{V_1}^\alpha$, for all $u \in V_1$.

(B1) f^2 is weakly sequentially continuous as a functional from V_1 into \mathbb{R} , i.e., if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in V_1 , then $f^2(u_n) \rightarrow f^2(u)$.

(B2) For any $v \in V_2$, $v^+ \neq 0$, there exists $c_2 = c_2(v^+) > 0$, such that

$$\langle Bv, v^+ \rangle_{V_2} \leq c_2(v^+) \|v^+\|_{V_2}^\alpha.$$

Moreover, the following implication holds: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ and $k > 0$, such that $\text{meas}(\Omega_v^+ \cap B_k(0)) < \delta$, implies that $c_2(v^+) < \varepsilon$. Similarly, for $v \in V_2$, with $v^- \neq 0$.

Proposition 2.2. *The number λ_1 is an isolated eigenvalue of problem $(AE)_\lambda$ in the following sense: there exists $\eta > 0$, such that the interval $(-\infty, \lambda_1 + \eta)$ does not contain any other eigenvalue than λ_1 .*

Proof. Assume the contrary, i.e., there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_1$ and $u_n \in V_1$ with $\|u_n\|_{V_1} = 1$, satisfying

$$Au_n = \lambda_n Bu_n. \tag{2.1}$$

Then $\lambda_n > \lambda_1$, due to Theorem 2.1 and (by passing to a subsequence, if necessary) we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in V_1 . Note that the functional $f^1(u) := 1/p \langle \partial f^1(u), u \rangle_{V_1}$ is weakly lower semicontinuous (it is lower semicontinuous and convex). Hence

$$\langle Au_0, u_0 \rangle_{V_1} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_n, u_n \rangle_{V_1}. \tag{2.2}$$

It follows from (B1) that

$$\lambda_n \langle Bu_n, u_n \rangle_{V_1} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \langle Bu_0, u_0 \rangle_{V_1}, \tag{2.3}$$

and from (A8)(ii) we get that

$$\langle Au_n, u_n \rangle_{V_1} \geq c_1 \|u_n\|_{V_1}^\alpha = c_1 > 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Now, it follows from (2.1)–(2.4) that $u_0 \neq 0$ and

$$Au_0 = \lambda_1 Bu_0,$$

i.e., u_0 is the eigenfunction associated with λ_1 . We may assume without loss of generality, that $u_0 = u_1$, i.e., $u_n \rightarrow u_1$ in V_1 . Then it follows from (V1) that, for any fixed $\kappa > 0$, we have that

$$\text{meas}(\Omega_{u_n}^- \cap B_\kappa(0)) \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.5}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, from (2.1), (A8), (B2) and $V_1 \hookrightarrow V_2$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 c_1 \|u_n^-\|_{V_1}^\alpha &\leq \langle Au_n, u_n^- \rangle_{V_1} = \lambda_n \langle Bu_n, u_n^- \rangle_{V_1} \\ &\leq \tilde{c} c_2 (u_n^-) \|u_n^-\|_{V_2}^\alpha \leq \hat{c} c_2 (u_n^-) \|u_n^-\|_{V_1}^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Since $\Omega_{u_n}^- \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 2.1, relation (2.6) implies that $c_2(u_n^-) \geq \text{const} > 0$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But this contradicts relation (2.5) and condition (B2). \square

3. Bifurcation from the first eigenvalue for abstract elliptic operators

We shall consider an abstract bifurcation problem of the form

$$Au = \lambda Bu + F(\lambda, u), \tag{3.1}$$

where A, B are the operators studied above and $F(\lambda, \cdot)$ represents “higher-order” terms with respect to A and B . Our main tool will be the degree theory for generalized monotone mappings satisfying condition (S_+) (see, e.g., [3,11,14]) and the global bifurcation result of Rabinowitz [10]. In order to apply the degree theory, we have to strengthen the assumptions on A and B in the following sense:

(A, B) f^1 and f^2 are Fréchet differentiable in V_1 and V_2 , respectively; $\partial f^1 : V_1 \rightarrow V_1^*$ is bounded and demicontinuous, $\partial f^2 : V_2 \rightarrow V_2^*$ is compact.

(A9) f^1 is uniformly convex in the sense that the following implication holds: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $u, v \in V_1$ with $f^1(u) \leq 1$, $f^1(v) \leq 1$, and $\|u - v\|_{V_1} \geq \varepsilon$, it implies that

$$f^1\left(\frac{1}{2}(u + v)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(f^1(u) + f^1(v)) - \delta.$$

(F) For any fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $F(\lambda, \cdot) : V_1 \rightarrow V_1^*$ is compact and

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{V_1} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(\lambda, u)}{\|u\|_{V_1}^{\alpha-1}} = 0,$$

holds uniformly for λ in bounded intervals of \mathbb{R} .

Remark 3.1. Remind that the Fréchet differentiability of f^i , $i = 1, 2$, implies that $A = \partial f^1$ and $B = \partial f^2$ are the corresponding Fréchet derivatives. In particular, the compactness of ∂f^2 implies (B1) (see [13, Corollary 41.9]).

The basic assertion is the following:

Lemma 3.2. *The operator $V_1 \rightarrow V_1^*$, defined by*

$$u \mapsto Au - \lambda Bu - F(\lambda, u), \tag{3.2}$$

satisfies condition (S_+) .

Proof. It is well known (see, e.g., [14]) that, every compact perturbation of an operator satisfying condition (S_+) satisfies also condition (S_+) . So due to assumptions (A, B) and (F) , it is sufficient to prove that A satisfies condition (S_+) . Let us assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in V_1 , and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_n, u_n - u \rangle_{V_1} \leq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $f^1(u_n) - f^1(u) \leq \langle Au_n, u_n - u \rangle_{V_1}$ we get from inequality (3.3) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^1(u_n) \leq f^1(u). \tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, the weak lower semicontinuity of f^1 yields

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^1(u_n) \geq f^1(u). \tag{3.5}$$

Hence we get from relations (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^1(u_n) = f^1(u). \tag{3.6}$$

Let us denote by $\mu_n := \max\{f^1(u_n), f^1(u)\}$. Then due to relation (3.4) we get that $\mu_n \rightarrow f^1(u)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$v_n := \frac{u_n}{(\mu_n)^{1/\alpha}}, \quad v := \frac{u}{(f^1(u))^{1/\alpha}},$$

i.e., $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in V_1 , and

$$f^1(v) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^1\left(\frac{1}{2}(v + v_n)\right). \tag{3.7}$$

The homogeneity of f^1 implies that $f^1(v) = 1$, $f^1(v_n) \leq 1$, and the convexity of f^1 yields that

$$f^1\left(\frac{1}{2}(v + v_n)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(f^1(v) + f^1(v_n)). \tag{3.8}$$

Then relations (3.7) and (3.8) imply that

$$f^1\left(\frac{1}{2}(v + v_n)\right) \rightarrow 1,$$

which together with condition (A9) yields $v_n \rightarrow v$ in V_1 . But from here we directly get that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in V_1 . \square

It follows from the previous Lemma 3.2 that the degree of the mapping (3.2) with respect to a bounded set $D \subset V_1$ and $0 \in V_1^*$, i.e.,

$$\text{Deg}[A - \lambda B - F(\lambda, \cdot); D, 0]$$

is well defined if $Au - \lambda Bu - F(\lambda, u) \neq 0$, for any $u \in \partial D$ (see e.g., [3,11]). The following assertion allows us to apply the global bifurcation results of Rabinowitz's type.

Proposition 3.3. *Let λ_1 be as in Proposition 2.2. Then there exists $\eta > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ such that, for the ball $B_\rho(0) := \{u \in V_1; \|u\|_{V_1} < \rho\}$ we have*

$$\text{Deg}[A - \lambda B - F(\lambda, \cdot); B_\rho(0), 0] = 1 \quad \text{if } \lambda < \lambda_1, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\text{Deg}[A - \lambda B - F(\lambda, \cdot); B_\rho(0), 0] = -1 \quad \text{if } \lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \eta). \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Due to the assumption (F) we have

$$\text{Deg}[A - \lambda B - F(\lambda, \cdot); B_\rho(0), 0] = \text{Deg}[A - \lambda B; B_\rho(0), 0],$$

when $\rho > 0$ is small enough and λ belongs to a bounded interval. So it suffices to prove that

$$\text{Deg}[A - \lambda B; B_\rho(0), 0] = 1 \quad \text{if } \lambda < \lambda_1 \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\text{Deg}[A - \lambda B; B_\rho(0), 0] = -1 \quad \text{if } \lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \eta). \tag{3.12}$$

To prove (3.11) and (3.12) we adapt the method developed in [5–7]. Consider the functional $\Phi_\lambda : V_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) := f^1(u) - \lambda f^2(u) = \frac{1}{p} \langle Au, u \rangle_{V_1} - \frac{\lambda}{p} \langle Bu, u \rangle_{V_1}.$$

The variational characterization of λ_1 (see hypothesis (A2) (iii)) implies that, for $\lambda < \lambda_1$, we have

$$\langle \Phi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle_{V_1} > 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in V_1, u \neq 0,$$

from where we get (see e.g., [11])

$$\text{Deg}[\Phi'_\lambda; B_\rho(0), 0] = 1 \quad \text{for any } \rho > 0.$$

Hence assertion (3.11) is proved. Let us consider now a real nonnegative C^1 -function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \leq K, \\ \text{strictly convex} & \text{for } t \in (K, 3K), \\ \frac{2\eta}{\lambda_1}(t - 2K) & \text{for } t \geq 3K, \end{cases}$$

for $K > 0$ large enough, to be defined later (see (3.19)). Fix $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \eta)$ and set

$$\Psi_\lambda(u) := \Phi_\lambda(u) + \psi(f^1(u)).$$

Then $\langle \Psi'_\lambda(u), v \rangle_{V_1} = 0$, for any $v \in V_1$, if and only if

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_{V_1} - \frac{\lambda}{1 + \psi'(f^1(u))} \langle Bu, v \rangle_{V_1} = 0 \quad \text{for any } v \in V_1. \tag{3.13}$$

Assume that $\Psi'_\lambda(u) = 0$ in V_1^* . Due to the definition of ψ we have

$$\frac{\lambda}{1 + \psi'(f^1(u))} < \lambda_1 + \eta. \tag{3.14}$$

Then assumption $\Psi'_\lambda(u) = 0$ in V_1^* and Proposition 2.2 imply that either $u = 0$ or it follows from relations (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\frac{\lambda}{1 + \psi'(f^1(u))} = \lambda_1 \tag{3.15}$$

and u is an eigenfunction associated to λ_1 . Due to the fact that $0 < \psi'(f^1(u)) < \eta/\lambda_1$, we get $f^1(u) \in (K, 3K)$. Since f^1 is homogeneous and λ_1 is simple, there exists $t_1 > 0$ and $t_2 < 0$ such that either $u = t_1u_1$ or $u = t_2u_1$. (Note that in the case of an even function f^1 , then we have $t_1 = -t_2$.) Hence the only possible critical points of Ψ_λ are $0, t_1u_1, t_2u_1$. On the other hand, Ψ_λ is a weakly lower semicontinuous functional – this follows from the convexity and continuity of $f^1(\cdot) + \psi(f^1(\cdot))$ and weak continuity of f^2 . Let us prove that Ψ_λ is coercive, i.e.,

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{V_1} \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_\lambda(u) = \infty$$

and bounded from below. Indeed, using the variational characterization of λ_1 (see (A2) (iii)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\lambda(u) &= f^1(u) - \lambda f^2(u) + \psi(f^1(u)) \\ &= f^1(u) - \lambda_1 f^2(u) + (\lambda_1 - \lambda) f^2(u) + \psi(f^1(u)) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda}{\lambda_1} f^1(u) + \frac{2\eta}{\lambda_1} (f^1(u) - 2K) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \|u\|_{V_1} \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

due to (A8)(ii). Hence Ψ_λ achieves its global minimum on V_1 . Clearly, this minimum must be negative (since $\lambda_1 < \lambda$). But $f^1(t_1u_1) = \lambda_1 f^2(t_1u_1)$, $f^1(t_2u_1) = \lambda_1 f^2(t_2u_1)$ and $f^1(t_1u_1) = f^1(t_2u_1)$ (due to (3.15) and the strong monotonicity of ψ). Hence also $f^2(t_1u_1) = f^2(t_2u_1)$, so t_1u_1, t_2u_1 are the points where the global minimum of Ψ_λ is achieved. Note that both t_1u_1 and t_2u_1 are isolated critical points of Ψ_λ . Therefore (see e.g., [11]), for $\kappa > 0$ small enough we have

$$\text{Deg}[\Psi'_\lambda; B_\kappa(t_1u_1), 0] = \text{Deg}[\Psi'_\lambda; B_\kappa(t_2u_1), 0] = 1, \tag{3.16}$$

where $B_\kappa(t_iu_1) := \{u \in V_1 : \|u - t_iu_1\|_{V_1} < \kappa\}$, $i = 1, 2$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi'_\lambda(u), u \rangle_{V_1} &= p[f^1(u) - \lambda f^2(u) + \psi'(f^1(u))f^1(u)] \\ &= p \left[f^1(u) - \lambda_1 f^2(u) + \psi'(f^1(u)) \left(f^1(u) - \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{\psi'(f^1(u))} f^2(u) \right) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{2\eta p}{\lambda_1} \left(f^1(u) - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} f^2(u) \right) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \|u\|_{V_1} \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

due to (A2)(iii) and (A8)(ii). Hence, taking $R > 0$ large enough we have

$$\text{Deg}[\Psi'_\lambda; B_R(0), 0] = 1. \tag{3.17}$$

Now the additivity property of the degree and relations (3.16), (3.17) yield that, by taking $\rho > 0$ small enough, we get

$$\text{Deg}[\Psi'_\lambda; B_\rho(0), 0] = -1. \tag{3.18}$$

Due to the definition of ψ for $\rho > 0$ small enough, so that $\rho < K$, we have

$$\Psi'_\lambda(u) = \Phi'_\lambda(u), \tag{3.19}$$

for any $u \in B_\rho(0)$. Then (3.12) follows from (3.18) and (3.19). \square

Let us define the space $E := \mathbb{R} \times V_1$ equipped with the norm

$$\|(\lambda, u)\|_E = (|\lambda|^2 + \|u\|_{V_1})^{1/2} \quad \text{for } (\lambda, u) \in E. \tag{3.20}$$

Let C be a connected set in E with respect to the topology induced by norm (3.20) and $C \subset \{(\lambda, u) \in E; (\lambda, u) \text{ solves (3.1)}\}$. Then C is called a *continuum of nontrivial solutions* of (3.1). We say that $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a *global bifurcation point* of (3.1) in the sense of Rabinowitz, if there is a continuum C of nontrivial solutions of (3.1) such that $(\lambda_1, 0) \in \bar{C}$ (closure of C in E) and C is either unbounded in E or there is an eigenvalue λ_0 of $Au = \lambda Bu$ such that $\lambda_0 > \lambda_1$ and $(\lambda_0, 0) \in \bar{C}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let λ_1 be as in Proposition 2.2. Then λ_1 is a global bifurcation point of (3.1) in the sense of Rabinowitz.*

Proof. The proof relies on the jump of the Leray–Schauder degree when λ crosses λ_1 as proved in Proposition 3.3. Then we can implement the proof of the original Rabinowitz’s result from [10]. \square

Remark 3.5. Let us emphasize that the essential ingredients for the proof of Proposition 3.3 (and Theorem 3.4) are the following properties of λ_1 :

- λ_1 is the first variational eigenvalue of $Au = \lambda Bu$,
- λ_1 is simple, and
- λ_1 is isolated (in the sense of Proposition 2.2).

Due to these facts the assertion of Theorem 3.4 holds true also for some operators $B = \partial f^2$, for which f^2 might change sign. However, in this case the above properties of λ_1 can be derived using other tools than Theorem 2.1 (see, e.g. [7, Chapters 3 and 4]).

4. An application

Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{div}\{a_1(x)|\nabla u^+|^{p-2}\nabla u^+ + a_2(x)|\nabla u^-|^{p-2}\nabla u^-\} \\ & = \lambda\{b_1(x)|u^+|^{p-2}u^+ + b_2(x)|u^-|^{p-2}u^-\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \quad u(x) > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{4.2}$$

Boundary value problems, where quasilinear elliptic operators, like the p -Laplacian $-\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$, are present, arise both from pure mathematics, e.g., in the theory of quasiregular and quasiconformal mappings (see [12] and the references therein), as well as from a variety of applications, e.g. steady flows of non-Newtonian fluids, reaction–diffusion problems, flow through porous media, fracture at bimaterial

interface, nonlinear elasticity, glaciology, petroleum extraction, astronomy, etc. (see [1,2]).

In problem (4.1), (4.2) we have that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ and take the approximating sets as $\Omega_n := B_n(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x| < n\}$. Let $N > p > 1$. We assume that

$$V_1 = \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \overline{C_0^\infty \|\nabla u\|_p}, \quad V_2 = L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{with } p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}.$$

Moreover, we suppose that $a_i, b_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $b_i \in L^{N/p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $a_i(x) \geq \rho > 0, b_i(x) \geq \rho > 0$, for some $\rho > 0, i = 1, 2$. Then we may consider as

$$f^1(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [a_1(x)|\nabla u^+|^p + a_2(x)|\nabla u^-|^p] dx,$$

$$f^2(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [b_1(x)|u^+|^p + b_2(x)|u^-|^p] dx.$$

So the weak formulation of problem (4.1), (4.2) is of the following type:

$$Au = \lambda Bu \quad \text{in } V_1^*,$$

where the operators A and B are defined by

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_{V_1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [a_1(x)|\nabla u^+|^{p-2} \nabla u^+ \nabla v + a_2(x)|\nabla u^-|^{p-2} \nabla u^- \nabla v] dx,$$

$$\langle Bu, v \rangle_{V_1} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [b_1(x)|u^+|^{p-2} u^+ v + b_2(x)|u^-|^{p-2} u^- v] dx,$$

for all v in V_1 . It follows from Theorem 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and standard compactness argument that problem (4.1), (4.2) has the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1 > 0$, which is simple, isolated with

$$\lambda_1 = \min_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}, u \neq 0} \frac{f^1(u)}{f^2(u)} \tag{4.3}$$

and the minimum in (4.3) is achieved at some strictly positive function $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We may notice that the verification of all assumptions follows the same reasoning as that in Section 4.1 of paper [9]. The decay of u follows from Serrin’s estimate (see [7, Theorem 1.10] or [8, Theorem 2.4]).

Let us consider a function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Carathéodory’s conditions, i.e., $f(., x, .)$ is continuous, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $f(\lambda, ., s)$ is measurable, for all $(\lambda, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Assume that there is a constant γ with $p < \gamma < p^*$ and a function $\rho(x) \geq 0, \rho \in L^{\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{p^*}{p^* - (\gamma + 1)} = \frac{Np}{Np - (\gamma + 1)(N - p)}$$

such that

$$|f(\lambda, x, s)| \leq \Lambda \rho(x) |s|^{\gamma-1},$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and λ from a certain interval I (here $\Lambda = \Lambda(I)$). Then the Nemytskij operator $F(\lambda, \cdot)$ generated by f , i.e.,

$$\langle F(\lambda, u), v \rangle_{V_1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\lambda, x, u)v \, dx,$$

defines a compact map from $\mathcal{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $\mathcal{D}^{-1,p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which satisfies

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,p}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(\lambda, u)}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,p}}^p} = 0.$$

So, from Theorem 3.4 we get a global bifurcation result for the nonlinear problem in \mathbb{R}^N :

$$\begin{aligned} &-\operatorname{div}\{a_1(x)|\nabla u^+|^{p-2}\nabla u^+ + a_2(x)|\nabla u^-|^{p-2}\nabla u^-\} \\ &= \lambda\{b_1(x)|u^+|^{p-2}u^+ + b_2(x)|u^-|^{p-2}u^-\} + f(\lambda, x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ &\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \quad u(x) > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Using the bootstrapping argument (see e.g. [7, Proposition 4.1]) we may even show that $u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $p^* \leq r \leq +\infty$, where u is any nontrivial solution to the problem (4.4). Then the regularity result of Tolksdorf [12] implies that $u \in C_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the Research Committee of the National Technical University, Athens, Greece. Also the first author was partially supported by the Grant Agency of Czech Republic, grant 201/97/0395.

References

- [1] C. Atkinson, C.R. Champion, On some boundary value problems for the equation $\nabla \cdot (F(|\nabla w|)\nabla w) = 0$, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 448 (1995) 269–279.
- [2] C. Atkinson, K. El-Ali, Some boundary value problems for the Bingham model, J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech. 41 (1992) 339–363.
- [3] F.E. Browder, W.V. Petryshin, Approximation methods and the generalized topological degree for nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 3 (1969) 217–245.
- [4] E.B. Chan, S. Hashimoto, M. Ôtani, P.W. Sy, Approximation for the first eigenvalues of some nonlinear elliptic operators in Banach spaces, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. 8(1) (1998) 273–283.
- [5] P. Drábek, On the global bifurcation for a class of degenerate equations, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 159 (1991) 1–16.
- [6] P. Drábek, Solvability and bifurcations of nonlinear equations, Pitman Research Notes Mathematical Series, No 232, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1992.
- [7] P. Drábek, A. Kufner, F. Nicolosi, Quasilinear elliptic equations with degenerations and singularities, DeGruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, vol. 5, Berlin, New York, 1997.
- [8] J. Fleckinger, R. Manasevich, N.M. Stavrakakis, F. de Thelin, Principal eigenvalues for some quasilinear elliptic systems on \mathbb{R}^N , Adv. Differential Equations 2 (1997) 981–1003.

- [9] T. Idogawa, M. Ôtani, The first eigenvalues of some abstract elliptic operators, *Funkcial Ekvac* 38 (1995) 1–9.
- [10] P.H. Rabinowitz, Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 7 (1971) 487–513.
- [11] I.V. Skrypnik, *Nonlinear Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1986.
- [12] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations* 51 (1984) 126–150.
- [13] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, vol. III, *Variational Methods and Optimization*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [14] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, vol. II/B, *Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.