

# On the Solutions of Homogeneous Matrix Difference Equations

G. Kalogeropoulos<sup>1</sup> and P. Psarrakos<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

In this paper, the solutions of a homogeneous matrix difference equation  $A_m u_{j+m} + A_{m-1} u_{j+m-1} + \cdots + A_1 u_{j+1} + A_0 u_j = 0$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) are investigated and it is obtained that they do not depend on the zeros of the equation at the infinity.

*Keywords:* matrix polynomial,  $Z$ -transformation, Smith-McMillan form, Laurent expansion.

*AMS Subject Classifications:* 39A05, 39A12, 93B25.

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Consider the *difference equation*

$$A_m u_{j+m} + A_{m-1} u_{j+m-1} + \cdots + A_1 u_{j+1} + A_0 u_j = f_j \quad ; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

where  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m$  are  $n \times n$  complex matrices,  $f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots$  is a given sequence of vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots$  is a sequence to be found. If for some  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $f_j \neq 0$ , then the equation (1) is called *inhomogeneous*, and if  $f_j = 0$  for all  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , then the equation

$$A_m u_{j+m} + A_{m-1} u_{j+m-1} + \cdots + A_1 u_{j+1} + A_0 u_j = 0 \quad ; \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

is said to be *homogeneous*.

A general solution  $\mathbf{w} = \{w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots\}$  of the inhomogeneous equation (1) is written in the form

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v},$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\}$  is a general solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation (2) and  $\mathbf{v} = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots\}$  is a fixed particular solution of

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimioupolis 15784, Athens, Greece. E-mail: gkaloger@math.uoa.gr

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Technical University, Zografou Campus 15780, Athens, Greece. E-mail: ppsarr@math.ntua.gr

(1) (see [1]). Using the notions of *standard* and *resolvent triples*, the general solution of (1) is given by Theorems 1.6 and 8.3 in [1].

In this paper, using a methodology in [5], we study the solutions of (2) and explain why they are independent of the zeros of the difference equation at the infinity (if any). In particular, the part of any solution  $\mathbf{u} = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\}$  of the homogeneous equation (2), which corresponds to the zeros at the infinity, coincides with the initial vector  $u_0$ . Our approach is based on the notions of the *Z-transformation* and the *Smith-McMillan form* of a matrix polynomial at the infinity. The suggested references are [2] and [3,5], respectively. Furthermore, the use of Laurent expansion of rational matrix functions is crucial.

For a vector sequence  $y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , its *Z-transformation* is defined by

$$Z\{y_j\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y_k \lambda^{-k},$$

where  $\lambda$  is a complex variable. The *Z-transformation* is *linear* and for any integer  $s$ ,

$$Z\{y_{j+s}\} = \lambda^s Z\{y_j\} - \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} y_k \lambda^{s-k}. \quad (3)$$

It is also worth noting that for the Kronecker delta at a point  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , namely,

$$\delta_s(j) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = s \\ 0, & j \neq s \end{cases}, \quad (4)$$

we have  $Z\{\delta_s(j)\} = \lambda^{-s}$  ( $\lambda \neq 0, \infty$ ).

Let  $Q(\lambda)$  be an  $n \times n$  rational matrix function. Then there exist two  $n \times n$  rational matrix functions  $U(\lambda)$  and  $V(\lambda)$  with constant nonzero determinants such that

$$U(\lambda) Q(\lambda) V(\lambda) = \text{diag} \left\{ \lambda^{p_1}, \lambda^{p_2}, \dots, \lambda^{p_\mu}, \frac{1}{\lambda^{q_{\mu+1}}}, \frac{1}{\lambda^{q_{\mu+2}}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda^{q_\nu}} \right\},$$

where  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_\mu \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq q_{\mu+1} \leq q_{\mu+2} \leq \dots \leq q_\nu$  are the orders of the poles and the zeros of  $Q(\lambda)$  at the infinity, respectively [3,5]. The diagonal matrix function

$$S_{Q(\lambda)}^\infty = \text{diag} \left\{ \lambda^{p_1}, \lambda^{p_2}, \dots, \lambda^{p_\mu}, \frac{1}{\lambda^{q_{\mu+1}}}, \frac{1}{\lambda^{q_{\mu+2}}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda^{q_\nu}} \right\} \quad (5)$$

is known as the Smith-McMillan form of  $Q(\lambda)$  at  $\lambda = \infty$ .

Finally, we recall that a rational matrix function  $Q(\lambda) = [q_{jk}(\lambda)]$  is called *strictly proper* (*proper*) if for every element  $q_{jk}(\lambda)$ , the degree of the denominator is greater (resp. not less) than the degree of the corresponding numerator (see also [4]).

## 2 Zeros at the infinity

Consider the homogeneous difference equation in (2). Applying the  $Z$ -transformation (for every  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) yields

$$Z\{A_m u_{j+m} + \dots + A_1 u_{j+1} + A_0 u_j\} = 0$$

or equivalently,

$$A_m Z\{u_{j+m}\} + \dots + A_1 Z\{u_{j+1}\} + A_0 \{u_j\} = 0.$$

Hence by (3),

$$A_m \left( \lambda^m Z\{u_j\} - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} u_k \lambda^{m-k} \right) + \dots + A_1 (\lambda Z\{u_j\} - u_0 \lambda) + A_0 \{u_j\} = 0,$$

and thus,

$$(A_m \lambda^m + \dots + A_1 \lambda + A_0) Z\{u_j\} = \alpha(\lambda),$$

where the vector

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \sum_{\rho=1}^m \left( A_\rho \sum_{k=0}^{\rho-1} u_k \lambda^{\rho-k} \right)$$

is the *initial condition vector* associated with  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}$ . Consequently, for the  $n \times n$  matrix polynomial

$$L(\lambda) = A_m \lambda^m + A_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_1 \lambda + A_0,$$

it is clear that

$$Z\{u_j\} = L(\lambda)^{-1} \alpha(\lambda) \quad (\det L(\lambda) \neq 0). \quad (6)$$

Let  $S_{L(\lambda)}^\infty = \text{diag}\{\lambda^{p_1}, \lambda^{p_2}, \dots, \lambda^{p_\mu}, 1/\lambda^{q_{\mu+1}}, 1/\lambda^{q_{\mu+2}}, \dots, 1/\lambda^{q_\nu}\}$  be the Smith-McMillan form of  $L(\lambda)$  as in (5), and assume that  $L(\lambda)$  has at least one zero at  $\infty$ , i.e.,  $q_\nu \geq 1$ . Then by Proposition 2.1 in [5], the Laurent expansion at  $\lambda = \infty$  of the rational matrix function  $L(\lambda)^{-1}$  is of the form

$$L(\lambda)^{-1} = B_{q_\nu} \lambda^{q_\nu} + \dots + B_1 \lambda + B_0 + B_{-1} \lambda^{-1} + B_{-2} \lambda^{-2} + \dots.$$

Hence, (6) implies

$$Z\{u_j\} = (B_{q_\nu} \lambda^{q_\nu} + \dots + B_1 \lambda + B_0 + B_{-1} \lambda^{-1} + B_{-2} \lambda^{-2} + \dots) \alpha(\lambda)$$

or equivalently,

$$Z\{u_j\} = \begin{bmatrix} I \lambda^{q_\nu} & I \lambda^{q_\nu-1} & \dots & I \lambda & I & I \lambda^{-1} & \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_{q_\nu} \\ \vdots \\ B_1 \\ B_0 \\ B_{-1} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \alpha(\lambda).$$

The initial condition vector  $\alpha(\lambda)$  is written

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} I\lambda^m & I\lambda^{m-1} & \cdots & I\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{m-1} & A_m & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and by straightforward computations, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} Z\{u_j\} &= \begin{bmatrix} I\lambda^{q_\nu+m} & I\lambda^{q_\nu+m-1} & \cdots & I\lambda & I & I\lambda^{-1} & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} B_{q_\nu} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ B_{q_\nu-1} & B_{q_\nu} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{q_\nu-m+1} & B_{q_\nu-m+2} & \cdots & B_{q_\nu-1} & B_{q_\nu} \\ B_{q_\nu-m} & B_{q_\nu-m+1} & \cdots & B_{q_\nu-2} & B_{q_\nu-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{-m} & B_{-m+1} & \cdots & B_{-2} & B_{-1} \\ B_{-m-1} & B_{-m} & \cdots & B_{-3} & B_{-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} A_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{m-1} & A_m & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,  $Z\{u_j\}$  is of the form

$$Z\{u_j\} = \beta_{pol}(\lambda) + \beta_{sp}(\lambda), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{pol}(\lambda) &= \begin{bmatrix} I\lambda^{q_\nu+m} & I\lambda^{q_\nu+m-1} & \cdots & I\lambda & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} B_{q_\nu} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ B_{q_\nu-1} & B_{q_\nu} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{q_\nu-m+1} & B_{q_\nu-m+2} & \cdots & B_{q_\nu-1} & B_{q_\nu} \\ B_{q_\nu-m} & B_{q_\nu-m+1} & \cdots & B_{q_\nu-2} & B_{q_\nu-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{-m} & B_{-m+1} & \cdots & B_{-2} & B_{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} A_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{m-1} & A_m & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



Then we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{bmatrix} B_{q_\nu} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ B_{q_\nu-1} & B_{q_\nu} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{q_\nu-m+1} & B_{q_\nu-m+2} & \cdots & B_{q_\nu-1} & B_{q_\nu} \\ B_{q_\nu-m} & B_{q_\nu-m+1} & \cdots & B_{q_\nu-2} & B_{q_\nu-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{-m} & B_{-m+1} & \cdots & B_{-2} & B_{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_m & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{m-1} & A_m & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_m \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_{q_\nu} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ B_{q_\nu-1} & B_{q_\nu} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_0 & B_1 & \cdots & B_{q_\nu} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_{m-1} \\ 0 & A_0 & \cdots & A_{m-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{pol}(\lambda) &= ([I \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0] - [I\lambda^{q_\nu} \ I\lambda^{q_\nu-1} \ \cdots \ I\lambda \ I] \mathbf{B}_L) \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= u_0 - [I\lambda^{q_\nu} \ I\lambda^{q_\nu-1} \ \cdots \ I\lambda \ I] \mathbf{B}_L \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_L$  is the  $n(q_\nu + 1) \times nm$  matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_L &= \begin{bmatrix} B_{q_\nu} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ B_{q_\nu-1} & B_{q_\nu} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_0 & B_1 & \cdots & B_{q_\nu} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_{m-1} \\ 0 & A_0 & \cdots & A_{m-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} B_{q_\nu} A_0 & B_{q_\nu} A_1 & \cdots & B_{q_\nu} A_{m-1} \\ B_{q_\nu-1} A_0 & B_{q_\nu-1} A_1 + B_{q_\nu} A_0 & \cdots & B_{q_\nu-1} A_{m-1} + B_{q_\nu} A_{m-2} \\ B_{q_\nu-2} A_0 & B_{q_\nu-2} A_1 + B_{q_\nu-1} A_0 & \cdots & B_{q_\nu-2} A_{m-1} + B_{q_\nu-1} A_{m-2} + B_{q_\nu} A_{m-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_1 A_0 & B_1 A_1 + B_2 A_0 & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^{q_\nu} B_j A_{m-1-j} \\ B_0 A_0 & B_0 A_1 + B_1 A_0 & \cdots & \sum_{j=0}^{q_\nu} B_j A_{m-1-j} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

By straightforward computations, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& [I\lambda^{q_\nu} \ I\lambda^{q_\nu-1} \ \dots \ I\lambda \ I] \mathbf{B}_L \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{q_\nu} B_j \lambda^j \right) A_0 u_0 \\
& \quad + \left[ \left( \sum_{j=0}^{q_\nu} B_j \lambda^j \right) A_1 + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q_\nu} B_j \lambda^{j-1} \right) A_0 \right] u_1 + \dots \\
& \quad + \left[ \left( \sum_{j=0}^{q_\nu} B_j \lambda^j \right) A_{m-1} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q_\nu} B_j \lambda^{j-1} \right) A_{m-2} + \dots + B_{q_\nu} A_{m-q_\nu-1} \right] u_{m-1} \\
& = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{q_\nu} B_j \lambda^j \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A_k u_k \right) + \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q_\nu} B_j \lambda^{j-1} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} A_k u_{k+1} \right) + \dots \\
& \quad + (B_{q_\nu} \lambda + B_{q_\nu-1}) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m-q_\nu-2} A_k u_{k+q_\nu-1} \right) + B_{q_\nu} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m-q_\nu-1} A_k u_{k+q_\nu} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume now that  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m+q_\nu}$  satisfy (2). Then the equations (2) and (11) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
& [I\lambda^{q_\nu} \ I\lambda^{q_\nu-1} \ \dots \ I\lambda \ I] \mathbf{B}_L \begin{bmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m-1} \end{bmatrix} = -(B_{q_\nu} A_m) \lambda^{q_\nu} u_m \\
& \quad - (B_{q_\nu-1} A_m + B_{q_\nu} A_{m-1}) \lambda^{q_\nu-1} u_m - (B_{q_\nu} A_m) \lambda^{q_\nu-1} u_{m+1} \\
& \quad - (B_{q_\nu} A_{m-2} + B_{q_\nu-1} A_{m-1} + B_{q_\nu-2} A_m) \lambda^{q_\nu-1} u_m - \dots - (B_{q_\nu} A_m) u_{m+q_\nu} \\
& = -(B_{q_\nu} A_m) (\lambda^{q_\nu} u_m + \lambda^{q_\nu-1} u_{m+1} + \dots + u_{m+q_\nu}) \\
& \quad - (B_{q_\nu} A_{m-1} + B_{q_\nu-1} A_m) (\lambda^{q_\nu-1} u_m + \lambda^{q_\nu-2} u_{m+1} + \dots + u_{m+q_\nu-1}) \\
& \quad - (B_{q_\nu} A_{m-2} + B_{q_\nu-1} A_{m-1} + B_{q_\nu-2} A_m) (\lambda^{q_\nu-2} u_m + \dots + u_{m+q_\nu-2}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\
& \quad - (B_{q_\nu} A_{m-q_\nu} + B_{q_\nu-1} A_{m-q_\nu+1} + B_0 A_m) u_m \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (12), we have  $\beta_{pol}(\lambda) = u_0$ . Moreover, applying the inverse  $Z$ -transformation on the equation (7), it follows that

$$u_j = Z^{-1}\{\beta_{pol}(\lambda) + \beta_{sp}(\lambda)\} = Z^{-1}\{\beta_{pol}(\lambda)\} + Z^{-1}\{\beta_{sp}(\lambda)\}. \quad (13)$$

By the equation (9) and the properties of the  $Z$ -transformation and its inverse [2], it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{-1}\{\beta_{sp}(\lambda)\} &= Z^{-1}\{\gamma_1\lambda^{-1} + \gamma_2\lambda^{-2} + \gamma_3\lambda^{-3} + \dots\} \\ &= \gamma_1 \delta_1(j) + \gamma_2 \delta_2(j) + \gamma_3 \delta_3(j) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and

$$Z^{-1}\{\beta_{pol}(\lambda)\} = Z^{-1}\{u_0\} = u_0 \delta_0(j), \quad (15)$$

where  $\delta_s(j)$  is the Kronecker delta defined by (4) and the coefficients  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \dots$  are given by (10). Hence, for appropriate vectors  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m+q_\nu}$ , the solution of the difference equation (2) depends only on the strictly proper part of  $Z\{u_j\}$ , that is,  $\beta_{sp}(\lambda)$  in (8).

Let  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}$  be any choice of initial conditions. Then by (10), (13), (14) and (15), we can construct the corresponding solution  $\mathbf{u} = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\}$  of the equation (2). As a consequence, the above discussion yields the following result.

**Theorem 1** *Consider the homogeneous difference equation (2) and the matrix polynomial  $L(\lambda) = A_m\lambda^m + A_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_1\lambda + A_0$ . Let  $S_{L(\lambda)}^\infty$  be the Smith-McMillan form of  $L(\lambda)$  as in (5), and assume that  $L(\lambda)$  has at least one zero at  $\infty$ , i.e.,  $q_\nu \geq 1$ . Then for every choice of initial conditions  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}$ , the corresponding solution  $\mathbf{u} = \{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\}$  of (2) does not depend on the zeros of  $L(\lambda)$  at infinity. In particular, the vectors  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}$  are arbitrary, and for every  $j = m, m+1, m+2, \dots$ , the vector  $u_j$  coincides with  $\gamma_j$  in (10).*

Next we present an illustrative example.

### Example

Let

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda+1 & \lambda^3 \\ 0 & \lambda+1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^3 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the matrix polynomial, which corresponds to the difference equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} u_{j+3} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} u_{j+1} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} u_j = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (16)$$

Then one can verify that  $q_\nu = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda)^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} (\lambda+1)^{-1} & -\lambda^3(\lambda+1)^{-2} \\ 0 & (\lambda+1)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{-1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for the vectors

$$u_0 = \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,1} \\ u_{0,2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,1} \\ u_{1,2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} u_{2,1} \\ u_{2,2} \end{bmatrix},$$



we have

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{pol} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda - 2 & 0 & \lambda - 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,1} \\ u_{0,2} \\ u_{1,1} \\ u_{1,2} \\ u_{2,1} \\ u_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (u_{0,2} + u_{1,2})\lambda + u_{0,1} - 2u_{0,2} - u_{1,2} + u_{2,2} \\ u_{0,2} \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that for every solution  $\{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\}$  of the difference equation (16), the vectors  $u_0, u_1, u_2$  satisfy  $u_{0,2} + u_{1,2} = 0$  and  $u_{2,2} - u_{0,2} = 0$ , and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}Z^{-1}\{\beta_{pol}(\lambda)\} &= \begin{bmatrix} (u_{0,2} + u_{1,2})\delta_1(j) + (u_{0,1} - 2u_{0,2} - u_{1,2} + u_{2,2})\delta_0(j) \\ u_{0,2}\delta_0(j) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,2} + u_{1,2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \delta_{-1}(j) + \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,1} - 2u_{0,2} - u_{1,2} + u_{2,2} \\ u_{0,2} \end{bmatrix} \delta_0(j) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u_{0,1} \\ u_{0,2} \end{bmatrix} \delta_0(j) = u_0 \delta_0(j).\end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, *Matrix Polynomials*, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [2] A.V. Oppenheim and R.W. Schaffer, *Discrete-Time Signal Processing*, Prentice-Hall International, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1989.
- [3] A.I.G. Vardulakis, D. J. N. Limebeer and N. Karcanias, Structure and Smith-McMillan form of a rational matrix at infinity, *Int. J. Control*, **35** (1982), pp. 701-725.
- [4] A.I.G. Vardulakis, *Linear Multivariable Control*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester U.K., 1991.
- [5] A.I.G. Vardulakis and G. Fragulis, Infinite elementary divisors of polynomial matrices and impulsive solutions of linear homogeneous matrix differential equations, *Circuits Systems Signal Process*, **8** (1989), pp. 357-373.