

Expectation-Maximization Algorithm

Dimitris Fouskakis

Department of Mathematics
School of Applied Mathematical and Physical Sciences
National Technical University of Athens

fouskakis@math.ntua.gr

Spring Semester

Example: Gamma distribution

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta), \text{ i.e. } f(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}$$

$$L(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha n}}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$l(\alpha, \beta) = n\alpha \log \beta - n \log \Gamma(\alpha) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i,$$

i.e. $(\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$ is a sufficient statistic for (α, β) .

$$\bullet \frac{\partial l(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n\alpha}{\beta} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \frac{\alpha}{\bar{x}}$$

$$l(\alpha, \hat{\beta}) = n\alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\bar{x}} - n \log \Gamma(\alpha) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \frac{\alpha}{\bar{x}} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\bullet \frac{\partial l(\alpha, \hat{\beta})}{\partial \alpha} = -n \log \bar{x} + n \log \alpha + n - n[\log \Gamma(\alpha)]' + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - n,$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i / \bar{x} = n$

Newton-Raphson (1 dimension):

$$\alpha^{\text{new}} = \alpha^{\text{old}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - n \log \bar{x} + n \log \alpha^{\text{old}} - n\Psi(\alpha^{\text{old}})}{n/\alpha^{\text{old}} - n\Psi'(\alpha^{\text{old}})}$$

where $\Psi(\alpha) := [\log \Gamma(\alpha)]'$: digamma function and $\Psi_3(\alpha) := \Psi'(\alpha)$: trigamma function.

Example: Gamma distribution (cont'd)

Alternatively,

$$l(\alpha, \beta) = n\alpha \log \beta - n \log \Gamma(\alpha) + (\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i - \beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

$$\frac{\partial l(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n\alpha}{\beta} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial l(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \alpha} = n \log \beta - n\psi(\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i = 0$$

Newton-Raphson (2 dimensions):

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -n\psi_2(\alpha) & \frac{n}{\beta} \\ \frac{n}{\beta} & -\frac{n\alpha}{\beta^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{Hessian matrix})$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^{\text{new}} \\ \beta^{\text{new}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^{\text{old}} \\ \beta^{\text{old}} \end{bmatrix} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i + n \log \beta^{\text{old}} - n\psi(\alpha^{\text{old}}) \\ \frac{n\alpha^{\text{old}}}{\beta^{\text{old}}} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Example: Gamma distribution (cont'd)

$X \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$, $\mathbb{E}[X] = \alpha/\beta$, $\mathbb{E}[\log X] = ?$ We have

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha} = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} dx$$

derivative \Rightarrow w.r.t. α $\frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)\beta^\alpha - \beta^\alpha \log \beta \Gamma(\alpha)}{(\beta^\alpha)^2} = \int_0^\infty \log x x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} dx$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \beta^\alpha - \beta^\alpha \log \beta}{\beta^\alpha} = \int_0^\infty \log x \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x} dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\log X] = \frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \log \beta,$$

where $\frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = [\log \Gamma(\alpha)]'$: digamma function.

Missing data examples

- Some variables for certain observations might have not been observed/measured.
- Censored observations, e.g. survival analysis
The value of a r.v. representing the survival time is larger than a certain value but we do not know its exact value.
- Truncated observations (e.g. truncated Poisson)
Some specific values cannot be observed and thus appear with zero frequency.
- Grouped data
Questionnaires → grouping of continuous r.v.'s
e.g. age, income, etc. → confidential data

Missing data examples (cont'd)

- Mixtures, e.g. mixed effects models

e.g.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X \sim P(\lambda) \\ \lambda \sim \Gamma(a, b) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \text{Negative Binomial}$$

where λ is a r.v. that we have not observed.

- Convolutions: $X = Y + Z$,
where X is observed while Y and Z are not observed.
- Random sums: $Y = X_1 + \dots + X_N$,
where N is a r.v. (e.g. $N \sim P(\lambda)$), Y is observed, X_i and N are not observed.
e.g. actuarial science \rightarrow amount of compensation paid by an insurance company
- Hidden Markov Models
Time series \rightarrow the value at each time point depends on an unobservable state.

Expectation–maximization (EM) algorithm

- Dempster et al. 1977
- Application: datasets with missing values (see previous slides)

IDEA:

$$\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}),$$

where \mathbf{Y} : complete data, \mathbf{X} : observed data and \mathbf{Z} : latent data

Aim: $\max_{\theta} L(\theta; \mathbf{X})$, i.e. the likelihood of the parameter θ , given the observed data \mathbf{X} . This maximization has difficulties. We augment the data, to make the problem simpler!

E-step: Estimate \mathbf{Z} from \mathbf{X} and current θ

M-step: $\max_{\theta} L(\theta; \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z})$ (using current \mathbf{Z})

We begin with $\theta^{(0)}$. In iteration r

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{(r)}) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \log L(\theta; \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}) f(\mathbf{Z} | \theta^{(r)}, \mathbf{X}) d\mathbf{Z} \equiv \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \theta^{(r)}} [\log L(\theta; \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z})]$$

E-step: Compute $Q(\theta, \theta^{(r)})$

→ expected value of the log likelihood of θ for the complete data w.r.t. the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{Z} | \mathbf{X}, \theta^{(r)}$, i.e. the log likelihood of θ for the complete data \mathbf{Y} with the conditional expectations of \mathbf{Z} (given the actual data \mathbf{X} and the current value $\theta^{(r)}$ of the parameter) in the place of \mathbf{Z}

M-step: $\max_{\theta} Q(\theta, \theta^{(r)})$

1

$$\left| \frac{l^{(r+1)} - l^{(r)}}{l^{(r+1)}} \right| \leq \text{tolerance},$$

where $l^{(r)}$: log likelihood of the complete data after iteration r .

2

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)$$

$$\max_j \left(\left| \theta_j^{(r+1)} - \theta_j^{(r)} \right| \right) \leq \text{tolerance} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, p)$$

or

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \left(\theta_j^{(r+1)} - \theta_j^{(r)} \right)^2 \leq \text{tolerance}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}) \equiv (\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}})$$

$$f(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}|\boldsymbol{\theta})f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \stackrel{\log}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$l(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y}) = l(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}) + \log f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \Rightarrow$$

$$l(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}) = l(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y}) - \log f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (*)$$

We would like to estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ by maximizing $l(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}})$.

The expected value of (*) w.r.t. $\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}}[l(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}})] &= \int l(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)})d\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}} - \\ &- \int \log f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\theta})f(\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)})d\mathbf{y}_{\text{mis}} \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)})$ the first term of the right-hand side and by $H(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)})$ the second term, while the expectation on the left-hand side is equal to $l(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}})$ (constant w.r.t. \mathbf{Y}_{mis}).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } l(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r+1)}; \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}) - l(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}; \mathbf{y}_{\text{obs}}) &= \left[Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r+1)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}) - Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}) \right] - \\ &- \left[H(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r+1)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}) - H(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(r)}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

EM theory (cont'd)

We need to show that the above is ≥ 0 (thus the log likelihood of θ for the observed data is increased in two consecutive iterations). However, in the M-step we maximize Q , so the first term on the right-hand side is ≥ 0 .

It suffices thus to show that: $H(\theta^{(r+1)}, \theta^{(r)}) - H(\theta^{(r)}, \theta^{(r)}) \leq 0$.

But, $H(\theta^{(r+1)}, \theta^{(r)}) - H(\theta^{(r)}, \theta^{(r)}) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r)}} \left[\log f \left(\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r+1)} \right) \right] - \\ & - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r)}} \left[\log f \left(\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r)} \right) \right] = \\ & = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r)}} \left[\log \frac{f \left(\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r+1)} \right)}{f \left(\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r)} \right)} \right] \\ & \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\leq} \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r)}} \left[\frac{f \left(\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r+1)} \right)}{f \left(\mathbf{Y}_{\text{mis}}|\mathbf{Y}_{\text{obs}}, \theta^{(r)} \right)} \right] = 0 \\ & \iff = 1 \end{aligned}$$

EM - Example

$n = 197$ animals divided in 4 categories based on a theoretical model about the genetic linkage. The data for the 4 categories are:

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (125, 18, 20, 34)$$

with theoretical cell probabilities

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{4}, \frac{1-\theta}{4}, \frac{1-\theta}{4}, \frac{\theta}{4} \right)$$

MLE for $\boldsymbol{\pi}$? \rightarrow MLE for θ ?

The theoretical model is a polynomial distribution with probabilities $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, thus the likelihood for the observations \mathbf{x} is:

$$\begin{aligned} &\propto \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{4} \right)^{x_1} \left(\frac{1-\theta}{4} \right)^{x_2} \left(\frac{1-\theta}{4} \right)^{x_3} \left(\frac{\theta}{4} \right)^{x_4} \\ &\propto (2 + \theta)^{x_1} (1 - \theta)^{x_2 + x_3} \theta^{x_4} \end{aligned}$$

and its logarithm

$$\propto x_1 \log(2 + \theta) + (x_2 + x_3) \log(1 - \theta) + x_4 \log \theta$$

(maximization \rightarrow 2nd degree polynomial with solutions 0.62 \checkmark and $-0.55 \times$)

EM - Example (cont'd)

$\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$, $y_i = x_i$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$) and $y_0 + y_1 = x_1$

$\mathbf{Y} \sim \text{Mult} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\theta}{4}, \frac{1-\theta}{4}, \frac{1-\theta}{4}, \frac{\theta}{4} \right)$

The likelihood for the complete data is:

$$L(\theta; \mathbf{y}) \propto (1 - \theta)^{y_2 + y_3} \theta^{y_4 + y_1}$$

$$\log L(\theta; \mathbf{y}) \equiv l(\theta; \mathbf{y}) \propto (y_2 + y_3) \log(1 - \theta) + (y_1 + y_4) \log \theta$$

$$\frac{\partial l(\theta; \mathbf{y})}{\partial \theta} = \frac{y_1 + y_4}{\theta} - \frac{y_2 + y_3}{1 - \theta} = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{y_1 + y_4}{y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4} \quad (y_1 : \text{unknown})$$

Note that $Y_1 | \theta, \mathbf{X} \sim \text{Bin} \left(125, \frac{\theta/4}{\theta/4 + 1/2} = \frac{\theta}{\theta + 2} \right)$

Thus, E-step

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{(r)}) = \mathbb{E}_{Y_1 | \theta^{(r)}, \mathbf{X}} [\log L(\theta; \mathbf{Y})] =$$

$$\text{constant} + \mathbb{E}_{Y_1 | \theta^{(r)}, \mathbf{X}} [(y_2 + y_3) \log(1 - \theta) + (Y_1 + y_4) \log \theta] =$$

$$\text{constant} + (y_2 + y_3) \log(1 - \theta) + (\mathbb{E}[Y_1] + y_4) \log \theta, \quad \mathbb{E}[Y_1] = 125\theta^{(r)} / (\theta^{(r)} + 2)$$

M-step

$$\theta^{(r+1)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_1] + y_4}{\mathbb{E}[Y_1] + y_2 + y_3 + y_4} = \frac{\frac{125\theta^{(r)}}{\theta^{(r)+2} + y_4}}{\frac{125\theta^{(r)}}{\theta^{(r)+2} + y_2 + y_3 + y_4}}$$

Application

$$\theta^{(0)} = 0.4 \rightarrow$$

(0.4, 0.5906643, 0.6218892, 0.6216642, 0.6267342,
0.6268099, 0.626820, 0.6268213, 0.6268215)

$$|\theta^{(r+1)} - \theta^{(r)}| \leq 10^{-6}$$

1 Stochastic EM (SEM)

In the E-step instead of computing the expected value, simply draw a value from the conditional distribution of the missing data

$\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{(r)}$ (using simulation or MCMC)

(-) The likelihood does not increase at every step but behaves well in general.

(-) Since the likelihood does not increase at every step, it might skip the local maximum.

2 Monte Carlo EM (MCEM)

In the E-step, it estimates the expected value through Monte Carlo integration. That is it draws several values (e.g. M) from the conditional distribution of the missing data $\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \theta^{(r)}$ and estimates the expected value from the sample mean.

To increase the likelihood at every step choose large M .

To avoid local maxima, begin with a small M and increase it gradually.

3 Generalized EM (GEM)

When the maximization at the M-step is hard just compute a value which increases the likelihood.